

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,042	10/02/2003	Mark H. Shipton	117313	6932
25944	7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			AUSTIN, AARON	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1775	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/676,042	SHIPTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aaron S. Austin	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS THE MON	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	larch 2006					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 15-18 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT International Application No. WO 94/18359 (WO '359) in view of Sangeeta (US Patent No. 6,395,406).

WO '359 discloses thermal methods of forming a stable intermetallic diffusion barrier on metallic substrates, such as turbine engines (page 1, lines 1-10). The diffusion barrier is formed by depositing a first layer of a first metal on the substrate, depositing a second layer of a second metal on the first layer, and performing a reaction treatment which causes the first and second metals to combine and form the diffusion barrier layer (page 3, lines 2-10). The heating step of the reaction treatment involves raising the deposited metals to a sufficiently high temperature to initiate the exothermic reaction necessary to form the intermetallic species in an inert vacuum environment (page 3, lines 31-38). The diffusion barrier may comprise platinum as the first metal and aluminum as the second metal applied to a titanium alloy (see Example 1 on page 5). Preferably the thickness of the diffusion barrier layer is between 0.1-10 micrometers (page 4, lines 8-11). Formation of the metallic layer may be through use of RF biased DC sputtering of particulate metal (page 5, lines 21-23). The thickness of the diffusion

Art Unit: 1775

barrier layer thereby limits the effective diameter of the metallic particles to necessarily fall within the claimed ranges.

WO '359 does not disclose the use of an organic carrier or the temperature range claimed.

Regarding the temperature range, WO '359 teach application of heat involving raising the deposited metals to a sufficiently high temperature to initiate the exothermic reaction necessary to form the intermetallic species in an inert vacuum environment (page 3, lines 31-38). A specific range is not taught, however the examples show application of heat at a temperature of 700°C or greater. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to adjust the temperature for the intended application, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding the carrier, Sangeeta discloses several methods for manufacturing a platinum-aluminum barrier coating on metal surfaces as well as metallic substrates having a platinum aluminide coating directly on the substrate. The platinum-aluminum coating is obtained by preparing a slurry containing the appropriate amounts of platinum metal particles, aluminum metal particles, solvent, and compatible additives (including organic materials). The metal-containing slurry can be applied to any portion of a metallic substrate by various methods such as brush-painting, dipping, and spraying. The metal-containing slurry can be applied in one application or at least two applications for the purpose of obtaining optimum adhesion of the metallic layer to the substrate.

Sangeeta discloses the same process steps for manufacturing a platinum aluminide layer on a metallic substrate as claimed by the applicants (for example, producing a suitable metal-containing slurry, applying the slurry to a portion of a metallic substrate, heating the coated substrate to drive off most volatile components, and heat treating the coated substrate to form a distinct platinum aluminide coating on the substrate). See line 30 in column 3 to line 4 in column 5 and line 46 in column 5 to line 65 in column 7. Examples 1 to 14 (columns 8 to 11) disclose various metallic substrates having a platinum aluminide coating directly on the substrate.

Therefore, as Sangeeta clearly teaches particular metals combined with solvent and compatible additives provides the advantage of a sprayable coating for the creation of a diffusion barrier, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a carrier in association with metallic particles for sprayed application in the process taught by WO '359. Thus the claimed invention as a whole is *prima facie* obvious over the combined teachings of the prior art.

Regarding claim 5, Sangeeta teaches an organic carrier comprising volatile and non-volatile components dried by heat (column 6, lines 48-50). The carrier and metals are anchored together to the substrate.

Response to Arguments

Please note a new examiner has been assigned to the present application.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 15-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,042

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron S. Austin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ASA

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER